



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,976	08/22/2000	Carl C. Bjornson	N0362/7008	1406

7590 01/21/2004

Peter J Gordon
c/o Wolf Greenfield & Sacks PC
Federal Reserve Plaza
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02210-2211

EXAMINER

KALINOWSKI, ALEXANDER G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3626	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/643,976	Applicant(s) BJORNSON, CARL C.
Examiner	Art Unit 3626	
Alexander Kalinowski		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 81-154 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 89-131 and 146-154 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 81-88 and 132-145 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 81-88 and 132-145 are presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 81-88 and 132-145 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that certain non-elected claims include all the features of elected claim 81. This is not found persuasive because the non-elected are directed to invention that are patentably distinct from independent claim as explained in Paper No. 3. Furthermore, the Examiner notes that the basis of the restriction was subcombinations useable together and not genus-species. Applicant's arguments that certain claims are related to independent claim 81 and Applicant's request that if claim 81 or other suitable claim is found allowable that Applicant be permitted to identify for consideration a reasonable number of additional claims in the form required of Rule 141 would seem to indicate that the Applicant is arguing that the related claims are species of generic claim 81. A review of Rule 141 covers claims that are restricted on the basis of genus-species. The Examiner did not restrict on the basis of genus-species and did not identify any linking claims. Therefore, Applicant's traversal of the restriction requirement is deemed non-persuasive. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Claims 89-11 and 146-154 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable

generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 4.

4. This application contains claim 89-131 and 146-154 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 4. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 81-88 and 132-145 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim1-36 of U. S. Patent No. 6,505,145 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

a deficiency database including information regarding deficiencies of

resources (see claim 1 of '145);

a resource database including information about resources used in an enterprise (see claim 1); and

a processor coupled to the deficiency database and resource database and arranged to provide information regarding a characteristic of a resource based on one or more deficiencies related to at least one resource used in the enterprise, the provided information usable for resource management (see claim 1).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 81-88 and 132-145 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al., Pat. No. 6,625,511 (hereinafter Suzuki).

As to claims 81-88 and 132-145, Suzuki discloses a resource management system, comprising:

a deficiency database including information regarding deficiencies of resources (Fig. 4 and col. 12, line 60 - col. 13, line 13 and col. 14, lines 5-17);

a resource database including information about resources used in an enterprise (see Fig. 4 and col. 12, line 60 – col. 13, line 13); and

a processor coupled to the deficiency database and resource database and arranged to provide information regarding a characteristic of a resource based on one or more deficiencies related to at least one resource used in the enterprise, the provided information usable for resource management (col. 12, lines 14-60).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Pat. No. 5,253,184 discloses a failure and performance tracking system.

b. Pat. No. 6,173,210 discloses an apparatus for selecting a mechanical seal.

Art Unit: 3626

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Kalinowski, whose telephone number is (703) 305-2398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM. In addition, the examiner can be reached on alternate Fridays.

If any attempt to reached the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas, can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-7687 (for official communications including After Final communications labeled "Box AF").

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th Floor, receptionist.



Alexander Kalinowski

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3626

1/9/04